



Quarterly Investment Manager's Report

Platinum World Portfolios - Asia Fund

30 June 2021

Contents

Performance	3
--------------------	----------

Macro Overview	4
-----------------------	----------

by Andrew Clifford, Co-Chief Investment Officer

China's Societal Change: Centralised Command and Capitalist Entrepreneurs	8
--	----------

by Cameron Robertson and Charles Brooks

Fund Updates	
PWP - Asia Fund	12

Performance

to 30 June 2021

SUB-FUND	PORTFOLIO VALUE (US\$ MIL)	QUARTER	1 YEAR	2 YEARS COMPOUND PA	3 YEARS COMPOUND PA	5 YEARS COMPOUND PA	SINCE INCEPTION COMPOUND PA	INCEPTION DATE
Platinum World Portfolios - Asia Fund								
Class A (USD)	20.1	0.4%	36.8%	24.3%	13.9%	-	15.8%	10 Mar 2017
Class B (USD)	5.5	0.2%	-	-	-	-	-	27 Jan 2021
Class D (USD)	15.0	0.2%	36.0%	24.1%	13.6%	15.7%	13.8%	16 Nov 2015
Class E (EUR)	0.8	-0.8%	-	-	-	-	-	16 Oct 2020
Class F (EUR)	0.4	-0.9%	28.8%	-	-	-	27.7%	3 Feb 2020
Class G (GBP)	2.0	0.0%	22.5%	18.6%	-	-	19.2%	19 Feb 2019
Class I (USD)	177.5	0.4%	37.1%	24.4%	14.0%	-	16.5%	19 Jan 2017
MSCI AC Asia ex Japan Net Index (USD) ⁽¹⁾		3.6%	39.6%	19.2%	12.2%	14.5%	13.3%	16 Nov 2015
MSCI AC Asia ex Japan Net Index (USD) (EUR) ⁽²⁾		2.7%	32.3%	-	-	-	20.8%	3 Feb 2020
MSCI AC Asia ex Japan Net Index (USD) (GBP) ^(2,3)		3.5%	24.9%	14.4%	-	-	14.6%	19 Feb 2019

(1) For the purpose of calculating the "since inception" returns of the Index in USD, the inception date of Class D of the Fund is used, since Class D was the first USD-denominated share class activated.

(2) The MSCI Index returns in USD have been converted into the specified currency (EUR or GBP, as the case may be) using the prevailing spot rate.

(3) For the purpose of calculating the "since inception" returns of the Index in GBP, the inception date of Class G of the Fund is used, since Class G was the first GBP-denominated share class activated.

Fund returns are net of accrued fees and expenses, are pre-tax, and assume the accumulation of net income and capital gains. Where a particular share class is not denominated in USD, the net asset value per share in USD, being the Fund's base currency, is converted into the denomination currency of that share class using the prevailing spot rate.

Historical performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. See note 1, page 16.

Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited for Fund returns; FactSet Research Systems for MSCI Index returns.

Macro Overview

by Andrew Clifford, Co-Chief Investment Officer

Rising Inflation Signals the Need for Wariness in Highly Favoured Names

"The burden of today's spending measures by governments will either be funded by taxation (today or in the future) or through a loss of value in money or cash (i.e. inflation)."

March 2020 Macro Overview

"Assuming that limits do exist on this financial engineering, we need to understand at what point these limits will be reached and what will be the implications of exceeding them. These questions are not easily answered, but certainly, possibilities include a rise in goods and services inflation..."

June 2020 Macro Overview

"While there is no evidence of a rise in inflation in goods and services in the major economies yet, it is easy to see an inflation scare unfolding as the year progresses."

December 2020 Macro Overview

"Daily readings of consumer prices already show inflation heading back to levels last seen in mid-2019."

March 2021 Macro Overview

Regular readers will know that since the outset of the COVID-19 pandemic, we have focused on the role of 'money printing' in funding government rescue packages and the potential for this to flow through to inflation in goods and services. Our concern has been that a surprising outcome in inflation would threaten the low interest rate environment, which has been a major factor underpinning the worldwide bull market in asset prices. The last six month-period has been interesting as we moved from there being no evidence of inflation, to nascent signs, and now some of the highest rates of inflation, at least in the major economies, that we have seen in decades.

Meanwhile, on other fronts, the relationship between the US (and the developed world) and China, on face value, continues to deteriorate. Attempts by governments around the world to rein in fast-growing monopolies in e-commerce and related areas continue. We are also seeing waves of COVID-19 variants continuing to spread across the globe. Yet, despite these developments, equity markets have broadly moved higher this year, with most markets at, or near, record highs. These share price moves mean valuations remain

somewhere between stretched to speculative for much of the market. Admittedly, there has been some nervousness around bond yields, interest rates and inflation at various points along the way, however, by and large, markets have remained bullish. Of course, the positive news has been the very strong economic recovery in regions that are moving steadily toward a post-COVID era.

Making predictions on economic variables is fraught with danger. However, the risk for markets with respect to inflation and the direction of interest rates is unlikely to be symmetric. If the inflationary spike turns out to be short-lived and interest rate rises remain a distant possibility, then the market can likely continue along the same path with valuations remaining elevated for the moment. However, the alternative scenario of persistent inflation that results in much earlier-than-expected interest rate increases by central banks is likely to result in dramatic falls in share prices, especially for the highly valued growth stocks. We would continue to argue, that in this environment, investors should remain cautiously positioned.

Inflation – a temporary blip or here to stay?

The US economy continues to power out of the COVID-induced recession. This is happening, even as unemployment benefits and other government transfer payments are progressively being wound back across the country. Employment growth, higher wages and a drawdown on the extraordinary level of savings stashed away last year are more than offsetting the cutbacks in government spending. In Europe, the economy is steadily making progress, even if at a slower pace than the US, and the Chinese economy is strong enough that the government is focused once again on slowing credit growth and reducing leverage across the economy. While growth rates will fall naturally as the year progresses and comparisons are no longer made against the trough of the recession, we do expect that, absent any other shock, global economic growth will remain robust as such momentum in the economy does not dissipate quickly.

This strong recovery, together with the fact that large service industries, such as travel, are still being impacted by COVID-related restrictions, has resulted in a surprising boom in demand for manufactured goods. This strong demand, together with supply chain disruptions in the early stages of the pandemic, has caused a wide range of commodities and manufactured goods, from copper to semiconductors and new homes, to be in short supply. This has resulted in rising prices for many goods and a spike in the consumer price index (CPI) to an annual rate of 5% in the US.¹

A most curious example of this phenomenon is evidenced in the US auto market, which is known for its endless supply of new vehicles. As new car sales reached the highest level recorded on a monthly basis since the global financial crisis (GFC) in April, production of new vehicles has simultaneously fallen to depressed levels as a result of component shortages (see Fig. 1). This has resulted in a 30% increase in the price of

used cars and trucks over the past 12 months (see Fig. 2),² with stories that used cars are selling at levels well above the listed price for the same vehicle on the showroom floor!

So, is this the beginning of a new era where inflation heads higher and remains persistent? The argument that this is a temporary phenomenon caused by unusual circumstances generally rests on two key propositions. The first is that the supply/demand imbalances we are experiencing will be temporary, even if they take a year or two to resolve, and prices will fall back to where they were. We strongly agree with this proposition. If the economy is good at one thing, it is resolving shortages in the supply of goods and services. The second part of the argument, is that, as government spending winds back, economies will slow dramatically. As we have pointed out, this isn't actually happening to date, and we don't expect it will. Still, that would only suggest that it may take a little longer for prices to fall away.


The debate on inflation is quickly moving to the cost of labour. Despite high unemployment rates in most economies, employers are universally reporting that it is hard to fill roles. This is true not only in the developed world, but also in China. There is strong anecdotal evidence that wages are rising and it is expected that various measures of employment costs will post high numbers as the year progresses. There were some expectations that the roll back of government benefits would help ease wage cost pressure as more people returned to the labour force, but it's not clear that this is happening. Time will tell.

However, the escalating cost of labour is not the concern that leads us to be wary about the re-emergence of inflation. Rather, it is the economic premise that if the rate of growth in money circulating in the economy is faster than the growth in the value of the economy's output (i.e. nominal GDP) it will necessarily be inflationary. It is an idea that has long been out of fashion and ignored because it wasn't apparently true.

¹ Source: US Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Fig. 1: US New Vehicle Sales vs. Production

Millions of Units, Monthly, Seasonally Adjusted Annual Rate, May 2021




Source: Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.

² Source: Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.

Fig. 2: US New Car vs. Used Car Prices

% change p.a.



Source: Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.

Money printing post the GFC didn't seem to create huge inflationary pressures, at least in the price of goods and services. This is partly because the excess growth in money supply (using aggregates such as M2 or M3) was quite timid. Additionally, inflation was only apparent in the price of assets such as property and shares – not goods and services. In the past 12 months in the US, the printing of money has been prodigious and there has been inflation not only in assets, with residential property prices up 15% over the year to June³ and the stock market up strongly, but now also in the CPI, with some of the highest numbers recorded in decades.

As fiscal spending initiatives roll off, the printing presses will slow. What is not known though is what happens to the so-called velocity of money (or more simply, how often money is spent in a given period). Where households had received government payments and simply left them in the bank, this money was passive and had no influence on the financial system. Economists would view this as driving down the velocity of money. As economies recover, if US households now elect to spend these savings over the next year or two, the velocity of money will start to recover and it remains possible that additional inflationary effects of the money printing last year could be experienced.

Does it really matter though if the Fed and other central banks keep interest rates at low levels?

It is important to appreciate that the role of central bank statements is not to tell us what they think is the likely course of interest rates, but to tell us what "they want us to believe" will be the likely course of interest rates. This is not quite as Machiavellian as it sounds. Central banks have rates set low for a reason and they want consumers and businesses to act as if they will stay low for the foreseeable future. When the central banks see the need to change, they will let us know, but the idea that they have the predictive powers to know that this will be sometime in 2023 or 2024 is insane. I think a far better premise for investors is that central banks will increase rates if it becomes clear that inflation is likely to be persistent.

Does it really matter if inflation is persistent? Why should the central banks respond at all?

Ultimately, the issue with inflation is that it represents a transfer of wealth between different groups in society. This is clearly seen in the discussion around the question of house affordability for first-time buyers that has been taking place in many countries. With goods and services inflation, the

impact is usually felt mostly by lower-income households who struggle to put food on the table as prices rise faster than wages. Ultimately, workers will respond and demand higher wages, sparking a wage-price spiral. For this reason, inflation historically has been a serious problem for governments.

Even if central banks don't respond initially to persistent inflation, it is ultimately a political issue. The experience of the 1970s and 1980s was that, the longer it took for governments to respond to rising inflation, the longer and more persistent the problem became.

What does this all mean for markets?

After the US Federal Reserve's (Fed) Open Market Committee meeting in mid-June, where the comments post the meeting were interpreted as bringing forward the first rate increase from 2024 to 2023, the stock market's reaction was curious. Investors returned to buying their favourite growth stocks and avoiding those more dependent on a good economic environment. This is an odd reaction, as a rate increase is literally years away (if we believe what the Fed tells us) and generally the economically sensitive stocks would be expected to perform well throughout the period of rising rates. This is quite possibly a reflex action by investors to return to perceived certainty (growth stocks and defensive businesses) at a time of uncertainty, rather than a statement that a possible rate increase in two years' time will immediately stifle the economic recovery. This period is also coincident with a rise in concerns around the spread of the COVID Delta variant.

How will the China/West relations play into the market's concerns?

The Group of Seven (G7)⁴ meeting held in mid-June and celebrations of the centenary of the Chinese Communist Party in early July have brought the topic of China's relationship with the West to the front pages of the newspapers once again. As we have discussed previously, the interdependence of our economic systems is a significant limiting factor on actions that can be taken by either side. Certainly, statements from both sides in recent months appear to be more theatre for domestic politics than an intention of meaningful action. This is not to say there are not serious issues at stake here that need attention. When interviewed by the *Financial Times*, Armin Laschet, who is the current frontrunner to become Germany's next chancellor, was quoted as saying, "The question is — if we're talking about 'restraining' China, will that lead to a new conflict? Do

3 As measured by the S&P Case-Shiller National Home Price Index. Source: FactSet Research Systems.

4 The G7 includes Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, the United Kingdom and the United States.

we need a new adversary?" He also said "And there the European response was cautious, because, yes, China is a competitor and a systemic rival, it has a different model of society, but it's also a partner, particularly in things like fighting climate change."⁵ This more nuanced approach to the issue of China relations is one that provides some small hope of resolving the current conflicts. In the meantime, the markets are treating the issue as a sideshow, which short of some dramatic developments, it probably is.

Finally, the issue of the anti-monopoly movement continues in the background. In China, action has been taken in regulating areas such as fintech and anti-competitive behaviours in e-commerce. The approach in the West is clearly more process driven and will most likely be a drawn-out affair. In the US, President Biden appointed Lina Kahn, a high-profile academic who has argued for a reframing of US competition laws, to chair the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). This is a pretty clear statement of intent. In the short term though, the FTC case against Facebook has been dismissed. We expect this movement to be a feature of the investment environment for the large e-commerce and payments businesses around the globe.

⁵ Source: Financial Times, 21 June 2021.

MSCI Regional Index Net Returns to 30.6.2021 (USD)

REGION	QUARTER	1 YEAR
All Country World	7.4%	39.3%
Developed Markets	7.7%	39.0%
Emerging Markets	5.0%	40.9%
United States	8.8%	41.9%
Europe	7.6%	35.0%
Germany	4.7%	31.8%
France	9.1%	40.9%
United Kingdom	6.0%	31.3%
Italy	3.6%	36.6%
Spain	5.3%	30.7%
Russia	14.0%	38.6%
Japan	-0.3%	24.8%
Asia ex-Japan	3.6%	39.6%
China	2.3%	27.4%
Hong Kong	2.5%	28.9%
Korea	4.8%	66.2%
India	6.9%	56.4%
Australia	6.9%	39.6%
Brazil	22.9%	46.6%

Source: FactSet Research Systems.

Total returns over time period, with net official dividends in USD.

Historical performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

The outlook for markets?

In this overview, we have focused on a number of negative elements in the current investment environment. However, the one real positive is the strength of the global economy coming out of the 2020 recession. Generally, one would expect this to be a good time to own shares. Certainly, there are many companies that we hold across our portfolios that we expect will likely benefit from the recovery and still trade at reasonable valuations.

While there are reasons to be optimistic about stock market returns, there are obvious areas of concern, notably the risk of persistently high levels of inflation and the impact on interest rates. It is not a definitive prediction but a reason to remain wary, particularly for investors in the highly favoured growth stocks trading at extremely high valuations.

MSCI All Country World Sector Index Net Returns to 30.6.2021 (USD)

SECTOR	QUARTER	1 YEAR
Information Technology	10.5%	46.0%
Health Care	9.4%	23.5%
Energy	9.3%	39.1%
Real Estate	8.4%	27.0%
Communication Services	8.0%	42.0%
Financials	6.2%	48.9%
Materials	6.0%	49.0%
Consumer Discretionary	5.9%	46.3%
Consumer Staples	5.7%	20.7%
Industrials	4.7%	44.6%
Utilities	-0.4%	14.7%

Source: FactSet Research Systems.

Total returns over time period, with net official dividends in USD.

Historical performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

China's Societal Change: Centralised Command and Capitalist Entrepreneurs

by Cameron Robertson and Charles Brooks

The focus and behaviour of commentators and investors often reflect their assumed knowledge and individual experience. These factors, if not guarded against, create natural biases that are not easy to overcome. Perhaps, this is no more apparent than in investor sentiment towards China today. In this article we explore a number of observable social and economic trends and associated policy responses currently taking place in China, that with a slightly different perspective, may surprise many.

Targeted Policy Response

China is known for its centralised command. However, like all political systems, within this, there is a set of behaviours highly focused on survival of the status quo; the maintenance of power and authority. Therefore, there is a highly developed streak of policy action that seeks to look after the people's interests. This is, front and centre, the implementation of populist policy. The policies may not always be successful or seem sensible to the outsider, but the 'protectionist' intent is here.

There are currently three areas of populist policy that are of interest to us.

Multiple Child Policy

The aging and future declining population needs resolution. This is typically mitigated via increased birth rates (although, for many countries these remain stubbornly low), migration and/or productivity increases. While there was a recent relaxation in the 'number of children policy' to three, this is purely a signal trying to encourage families to increase their population replacement rate. Although signalling in such a fashion is logical, there is also an understanding that they need to address the root cause: the prohibitive cost of raising a child in China.

China is a hugely competitive country, where there is a strong desire to see their offspring have a higher standard of living than they experienced themselves. The meritocracy and associated hugely competitive environment has resulted in a massive after-school tutoring industry, growing from RMB 203 billion (US\$32 billion) in 2011 to an expected RMB 564 billion (US\$88 billion) in 2021,¹ supplementing the standard school system.

The cost associated with this has grown commensurately, making it prohibitively expensive to raise a child. In response, legislation has been introduced to cap both the duration and cost of tutoring. A pilot trial placing restrictions on tutoring is expected to be conducted in nine cities/municipalities including Beijing, Shanghai and Jiangsu for twelve months, before being rolled out across the country.² Furthermore, there is the potential increase in school hours, beyond 4:30pm, allowing parents to continue to work longer (and for teachers to work longer too), therefore removing the need for the gap between school and work to be picked up elsewhere.

Effectively, this legislation is an attempt to narrow the inequality gap as well as help improve the affordability of having additional children. The outcome has been catastrophic for the tutorial suppliers, providing opportunities for us to invest in, such as TAL Education.

1 Source: Deloitte China <https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/cn/Documents/technology-media-telecommunications/deloitte-cn-tmt-china-education-development-en-report-2018.pdf>

2 Source: <https://www.reuters.com/world/china/exclusive-china-unveil-tough-new-rules-private-tutoring-sector-sources-2021-06-16/>

Property Affordability

In the midst of a requirement to transform the quality of the country's property stock to a modern standard, there is currently a property boom in China and resultant affordability issues, which is also replicated in many countries globally. Such is the level of demand that the sale of new apartments is managed through a lottery system, with potential buyers hoping their number is selected, and if successful, they must choose a property on the spot.

The demand is also seen through the secondary market, with property being more expensive than the primary market. For example, in Shenzhen, new builds are being developed at rates of up to RMB 40,000 (US\$6,200) per square metre (sqm) less than neighbouring properties in popular areas.³ In response, a range of controls have been established to direct development towards the lower-quality tiers, as well as an attempt to constrain demand to avoid a 'bubble then bust' cycle.

These controls are aimed at both developers and individuals. Developers are faced with apartment price caps, forcing them to build lower-margin, lower-quality tier property (social housing for the mass population). There has also been a forced reduction in financial leverage available to the developers (a similar edict exists against individuals, as well as restrictions associated with owning second homes). Finally, there is now centralised land bidding, with multiple blocks of land being released at the same time. This requires the ability to access significant funding all at once, a clear constraint when coupled with restricted access to credit.

These impositions are clearly having an impact on property developers' operations. Block land releases favour the larger and better-funded players. Pricing restrictions further impact the profitability of firms. The combination of these factors has meant that the higher-quality, better-managed developers are more likely to survive as lower-quality operators are forced out. We are starting to see this impact through the likes of China Evergrande, a developer currently being forced to sell assets (its land bank) to lower its debt exposure in order to survive.⁴ As its financial position becomes more untenable, a vicious circle emerges as property buyers are reluctant to transact with them (all purchases are paid for up front), fearing the property developer will not be able to deliver the property. It does not take much imagination to see where this is going.

3 Source: <https://sg.news.yahoo.com/bad-news-shenzhen-housing-speculators-080146565.html>

4 See: <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-china-evergrande-breakingviews-idUSKBN29O08R>

Stock market investor reaction has been to (not surprisingly) shy away from developers. Despite long-term historical earnings growth and the expected long runway of growth ahead, we have been able to buy selected high-quality Chinese developers, such as China Resources Land, China Vanke and China Overseas Land & Investment on single-digit earnings multiples on depressed earnings. Ultimately, we believe that there will need to be a return to the provision of properties of a quality expected by the wealthier demographic, with associated margin improvement.

Technology Giant Crackdown

To be clear, this is not unique to China, it's a global phenomenon. Governments, regardless of their persuasion, are not in favour of wealthy entrenched interests. Whenever organisations hold significant power, both in terms of vast resources and audience, it is only natural for governments to want to curb that power.

China has a long history of cracking down across a wide range of anti-competitive (and anti-societal) practices, whether that be film stars not paying taxes or the destructive practice of uneconomical parcel delivery.

The fear of manipulation gives us the opportunity to buy stocks at attractive prices, and the curtailment of unprofitable practices allows our investment in independent, well-managed, high-quality and profitable companies, such as parcel delivery firms, the potential to realise normal/ reasonable margins and profits.

Over the past few years, the Chinese government has placed various restrictions on the technology sector, including limiting online advertising practices in the medical sector and restricting the hours that minors can play computer games. More recently, we've seen an increased focus on e-commerce, as they look to push back against monopolistic practices and try to ensure the large internet platform companies don't take advantage of their positions to the detriment of staff, consumers, retailers or manufacturers. It is an ever-shifting battleground, as the reach of these platforms grows, touching more and more areas of daily life.

For example, this is readily seen in the rapidly growing e-commerce parcel delivery market (with over 80 billion parcels delivered in China in 2020, up from just under 10 billion in 2013⁵), where unprofitable practices, through a brutal price war, have been an attempt to grab market share away from the well-run independent players such as ZTO Express. While this has severely constrained ZTO's profitability, legislation has emerged stopping the likes of Alibaba from supporting the delivery of parcels at

5 Source: Platinum, FactSet Research Systems, ZTO Express.

unprofitable prices in an attempt to control the market. Financial services are also increasingly shifting online, again sparking concerns about the power of platforms, as well as their potential impact on financial stability.

Unfettered Dynamism

These examples of centralised intervention, lead us nicely to thinking about the hyper-competitive and capitalist nature of the Chinese commercial environment. Within this, there is, not surprisingly, a changing perspective on local Chinese entrepreneurial activity, an increased confidence in the ingenuity of domestic entrepreneurs and what it means to consume locally made products.

We touch on three examples of this emerging entrepreneurial activity and consumer behaviour below.

Made in China

There has been a notable shift toward the acceptance of locally designed and made brands. Where 'Made in China' used to have a stigma, it now has some cache. According to a survey by Statista of Chinese consumers in 2020, around 66% of respondents preferred to buy products from local brands, up from 61% the previous year.⁶


Certainly, there is a way to go for home-grown luxury goods to be accepted. However, for the likes of Anta Sports Products and Li Ning, their sport apparel and trainers are now increasingly preferable to the US and European brands.⁷

This has a significant impact for both sides to the story. With a deep and growing customer base, China has always been held out for Western brands as the next potential leg of their growth story, benefiting the valuations of many. The demise of this 'given' growth path will not be helpful for valuations. Conversely, as this momentum grows, local brands stand to benefit, providing the ability to improve their margins. It is also reasonable to anticipate this preference for locally made brands to move to a wider range of consumer goods. For example, the rise of China Feihe (a leading provider of infant formula and other dairy products), compared to a2 Milk. Historically, it was the trusted nature of the Western brand that furnished their impressive growth and associated valuations. As the faith in the domestic produce increases, the halo effect for a2 Milk diminishes.

⁶ Source: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1183456/china-preference-of-local-and-foreign-brands/>

⁷ Source: <https://www.scmp.com/lifestyle/fashion-beauty/article/3129648/anta-sports-and-li-ning-rival-nike-and-adidas-sportswear>

Fig. 1: Chinese Consumers' Brand Preference: Local vs. Foreign (2020)



Source: Statista.

Technological Development

There has been a history of Chinese firms being 'encouraged' to support domestic technology development, thereby spurring the development of local expertise. This reflected an early recognition of the need and desire to avoid the reliance on foreign firms. In a competitive global market, this directive to adopt what may potentially be inferior technology, is uncomfortable; it probably dilutes your edge and ability to compete.

While lately, given tensions with the US, this approach seems like prudent anticipation, after a long period (decades) of commitment, Chinese firms are now in a position where companies are choosing local technology on its own merits. Indeed, we are also seeing the adoption and recognition of Chinese technology advances being accepted beyond China.

Within this framework, Huawei's experience frames the perception of many investors. Huawei has for a long time been one of the main providers of telecommunications technology – a position that was not as easy to remove as first hoped by the Trump administration. Huawei's technology was first-rate, providing a vital contribution that could not be readily replaced by non-Chinese solutions. Directions by the US government to cease using Huawei components proved difficult, resulting in delays in implementation of the directive. By 2019, in the face of Trump's anti-Huawei edicts, rural networks that were built using lower-cost Huawei components were still struggling to find replacements, despite the original directive being made in 2012.⁸

⁸ See <https://www.wsj.com/articles/rural-u-s-carriers-resist-proposed-chinese-telecom-ban-11549886402>

This perception of inferiority may result in missing opportunities such as OneConnect (which was part of Ping An Insurance and a factor in our original Ping An investment). OneConnect produces auto insurance claim assessment technology, where post a car accident, drivers are able to send a video of the damage to their vehicle to their insurance company and they assess the damage and process the claim on the spot. This technology is now starting to be adopted by Western insurers. Furthermore, we are also starting to see Chinese technology firms popping up in various other high-end applications such as robotics, machine vision and power controls.


Financials

As China moves through the various stages of socio-economic development, consumer expectations and needs shift. This is seen in many of the subjects above, but the development of a financial ecosystem has a long path to follow. While housing and industries all need funding, via debt or equity, insurers also stand to benefit. With the rise in consumerism, there is a meaningful growth runway in the insurance industry. A report by McKinsey and Company released in November 2020 on the Chinese consumer, stated that four out of five Chinese consumers intend to purchase more insurance products post the COVID crisis.⁹

The Western world is used to financial products being a major component of our lifestyles, but in China this is only just beginning (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). For firms like AIA, who have over many years proved themselves to be a trustworthy operator, this has meant progressive expansion of licences into additional regions.

⁹ Source: [China Consumer Report 2021 - Understanding Chinese Consumers: Growth Engine of the World \(Special edition Nov 2020\)](#)

Fig. 2: Insurance Penetration in China



Source: Swiss Re Institute.

This has been an identifiable trend for some time and accordingly, an area our strategies have exposure to. The natural next step for the Chinese financial system is the development of a more mature savings industry. China's pension system is multi-dimensional. There is a basic state pension as well as a mandatory employee contribution second tier. Provisions also differ between urban and rural regions. The State pension system consists of various provincial pension plans and accounts for two-thirds of total pension assets. While we won't delve into the detail in this paper, needless to say, the demand for personal long-term saving mechanisms is growing, and with it, the opportunity for players to enter the market. According to McKinsey and Company, a survey in 2020 of post-COVID consumer behaviour found that 41% of consumers planned to increase their sources of income through wealth management, investments, and mutual funds.¹⁰

This is a rapidly changing model, and as regulators open the gates and allow access, the lure of the potential savings pool will see all-comers arrive. While today, this means the likes of Goldman Sachs opening-up in China, the local players such as leading independent Chinese wealth manager Noah Holdings and China Merchants Bank are of greater interest to us.


Biases and Opportunity

In this article, we have merely touched on a range of changes within the Chinese ecosystem that we believe provide further opportunities. As we are aware, and our investment process dictates, this does require that our natural biases be put aside.

By no means do we advocate making observations around China through rose-tinted glasses, simplistically suggesting volume, duration and development offer a failsafe opportunity. However, it highlights to us, how our immediate reactions need to be tempered.

¹⁰ Source: See previous footnote.

Fig. 3: Life Insurance Premiums in China (RMB)



Source: Credit Suisse.

Platinum Asia Fund



Andrew Clifford
Portfolio Manager



Cameron Robertson
Portfolio Manager

Performance

(compound p.a.⁺, to 30 June 2021)

SHARE CLASS	QUARTER	1 YR	3 YRS P.A.	5 YRS P.A.	SINCE INCEPTION P.A.
PWP Asia Fund Class A USD	0%	37%	14%	-	16%
PWP Asia Fund Class B USD	0%	-	-	-	-
PWP Asia Fund Class D USD	0%	36%	14%	16%	14%
PWP Asia Fund Class E EUR	-1%	-	-	-	-
PWP Asia Fund Class F EUR	-1%	29%	-	-	28%
PWP Asia Fund Class G GBP	0%	23%	-	-	19%
PWP Asia Fund Class I USD	0%	37%	14%	-	17%
MSCI AC Asia ex Jp Index [^]	4%	40%	12%	15%	13%


⁺ Excluding quarterly returns

Fund returns are net of accrued fees and costs. Class D inception date (16 Nov 2015) is used for Index "since inception" returns.

[^] Index returns are those of the MSCI All Country Asia ex Japan Net Index in USD. Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited, FactSet Research Systems.

Value of US\$100,000 Invested Over Five Years

30 June 2016 to 30 June 2021



After fees and costs. See notes 1 & 3, page 16.

Historical performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited, FactSet Research Systems.

The Fund (Class D) returned 0.2% for the quarter and 36.0% for the year.¹

Early in the quarter, weakness in markets coincided with rising inflationary concerns and a resurgence in COVID cases, particularly in India. However, as the quarter progressed, these concerns melted away. A consensus appears to have formed in the market that inflation is likely to be transitory, or at least is unlikely to elicit a policy response in the form of higher interest rates anytime soon. Meanwhile, India managed to get the disease somewhat back under control and ended up being the top contributing market to the Fund's performance over the quarter.

On the positive side of the ledger, during the quarter, we experienced strong returns from our Chinese apparel brands **Li Ning** (+88% over the quarter) and **Anta Sports Products** (+44%). This reflects both a growing preference among young Chinese consumers for domestic brands over foreign brands (as we discuss in our feature article "*China's Societal Change: Centralised Command and Capitalist Entrepreneurs*"), as well as continued solid execution against business plans by the management teams of both firms.

Shares in Indian property developer **MacroTech Developers** (+40%), which was only added to the portfolio at the beginning of the quarter, also performed well following its initial public offering (IPO).

¹ References to returns and performance contributions (excluding individual stock returns) in this PWP - Asia Fund report are in USD terms. Individual stock returns are quoted in local currency terms and sourced from FactSet Research Systems, unless otherwise specified.

One of our smaller Chinese holdings, which is active in the biopharmaceutical space, **CStone Pharmaceuticals** (+85%), saw its share price race up following positive news for their cancer therapies as well as updates on commercial partnerships.

On the negative side, the Macau companies **Melco Resorts & Entertainment** (-17%) and **Galaxy Entertainment** (-11%) both suffered from the ongoing COVID situation, with local outbreaks in Guangdong and Hong Kong being particularly disruptive to their clientele. There was also some weakness in Chinese property developers **China Vanke** (-20%) and **China Resources Land** (-16%), as the market's fears were stoked by financial challenges seen at industry peer Evergrande. We would hasten to point out that the developers we own are in a vastly better financial position than Evergrande, so we expect them to come out the other side of the current environment in a stronger competitive position than before.

The Fund had minimal short positions in place during the quarter and they proved to be a very minor drag on performance.

Changes to the Portfolio

During the quarter, we introduced a handful of new positions to the portfolio. We participated in the IPO of **Macrotech** (as mentioned above) and added to the position post the IPO. This was a tremendous opportunity to buy into one of the premier developers in the region, at a very attractive price. The Indian property market has been languishing the past few years, after reckless lending led to a boom and subsequent bust. The better-managed and more conservative developers, like Macrotech, which survived the bust, we believe are now well placed to capitalise on the mistakes of their peers,

securing land rights at minimal costs and taking the opportunity to generate incredibly high returns on these projects. With the wreckage of the prior bust now firmly in the rear-view mirror, the sector has begun consolidating, household debt levels are low, the population is increasing and incomes are rising, laying a strong foundation for the Indian property market, where prices have stabilised and started to increase. We were able to secure our stake in this founder-owned and managed business at a low-teen earnings multiple, well below where listed peers were trading. While the stock has already performed well, we feel this story is just getting started.

We also initiated a position in **TAL Education**, a Chinese tutoring services company. This is a business we have kept an eye on for years and took the opportunity during the quarter to buy an initial position after the stock more than halved in the face of regulatory concerns relating to the duration and cost of tutoring. The government has turned its focus to the after-school tutoring sector, as they try to identify ways to reduce the costs and associated stress of raising children in China. The final form of regulation remains uncertain, leaving many market participants unwilling to invest in the sector until there is more clarity around the issue. We believe TAL is one of the highest-quality operators in the sector, providing genuinely good service. Our sense is that the government does not intend to eliminate quality providers and that education remains a foundational value of the country. Given the wide range of potential outcomes, however, we have to date, only taken a small position in the company. While time will tell which way the regulatory winds blow, our suspicion is this will prove to have been an opportune chance to acquire a stake in a quality business at a very reasonable price.

Disposition of Assets

REGION	30 JUN 2021	31 MAR 2021	30 JUN 2020
China	44%	42%	53%
South Korea	11%	11%	12%
Hong Kong	8%	7%	9%
India	8%	5%	8%
Taiwan	6%	6%	8%
Vietnam	4%	3%	2%
Singapore	1%	2%	0%
Philippines	1%	1%	0%
Thailand	1%	2%	2%
Macao	1%	1%	1%
Cash	16%	20%	4%
Shorts	0%	-8%	-17%

See note 4, page 16. Numerical figures have been subject to rounding.
Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited.

Net Sector Exposures

SECTOR	30 JUN 2021	31 MAR 2021	30 JUN 2020
Consumer Discretionary	19%	16%	31%
Information Technology	18%	18%	22%
Financials	14%	13%	8%
Industrials	12%	12%	3%
Real Estate	9%	9%	4%
Materials	4%	4%	4%
Other	3%	-5%	-15%
Consumer Staples	2%	2%	6%
Communication Services	2%	2%	11%
Health Care	2%	1%	2%
Energy	0%	0%	4%
TOTAL NET EXPOSURE	84%	72%	78%

See note 5, page 16. Numerical figures have been subject to rounding.
Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited.

Other smaller new positions include: a leading company in the Chinese power semiconductor market that's growing rapidly and taking market share from international competitors; a steel producer on a low single-digit price-to-earnings (P/E) multiple; and a very profitable Vietnamese retailer that has practically no debt, dominates their niche, delivers good growth and trades on a low-teen P/E multiple.

Within our Chinese property developers, we switched our holding in China Jinmao for **China Overseas Land & Investment**, as we have some concerns around Jinmao's ability to continue sourcing land parcels through their historic channels.

We also adjusted our positioning in the Indian banking sector, reducing our exposure to **HDFC Bank** while re-introducing **ICICI Bank** to the portfolio. HDFC has long traded at a premium to peers, but we feel the difference in execution between HDFC and ICICI has narrowed materially, justifying a modest re-allocation of our capital.

There are a couple of positions where the investment thesis has not been playing out as originally hoped, for example **Largan Precision** (Taiwan, camera lens provider) and **CP All** (Thailand, food and staples retailer), hence these positions have been trimmed.

Finally, we reduced our exposure to companies that had experienced dramatic rises in their share price. While in most cases their competitive positioning remains strong, this is increasingly reflected in the share price, hence necessitating a reduced allocation. In this category, we reduced our holdings in **Country Garden Services**, **Huazhu Group**, **Anta Sports Products** and **LG Chem**.

Commentary

Having had time to get properly acquainted with the portfolio, we thought there could be some value in laying out our overarching thoughts on where we stand.

As a starting point, Asia presents an incredibly prospective opportunity set for investors. Across the region, we see vibrant societies, populated by remarkably well-educated citizens. These groups are filled with entrepreneurial zeal, making rapid progress toward healthier and wealthier lives. The path they are travelling along will present a broad opportunity set for businesses and investors. These populations are looking to improve their living conditions

Net Currency Exposures

CURRENCY	30 JUN 2021	31 MAR 2021	30 JUN 2020
Chinese renminbi (CNY)	44%	42%	53%
Hong Kong dollar (HKD)	17%	16%	9%
South Korean won (KRW)	11%	11%	12%
Indian rupee (INR)	8%	3%	1%
New Taiwan dollar (TWD)	6%	6%	4%
United States dollar (USD)	5%	13%	14%
Vietnamese dong (VND)	4%	3%	2%
Singapore dollar (SGD)	1%	2%	0%
Philippine peso (PHP)	1%	1%	0%
Thai baht (THB)	1%	2%	2%
Macanese pataca (MOP)	1%	1%	1%
UK Pound sterling (GBP)	1%	1%	0%

See note 6, page 16. Numerical figures have been subject to rounding.
Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited.

Top 10 Holdings

COMPANY	COUNTRY	INDUSTRY	WEIGHT
Samsung Electronics Co	South Korea	Info Technology	5.3%
Taiwan Semiconductor	Taiwan	Info Technology	5.1%
AIA Group Ltd	Hong Kong	Financials	3.7%
Vietnam Ent Investments	Vietnam	Other	3.2%
ZTO Express Cayman Inc	China	Industrials	3.2%
SK Hynix Inc	South Korea	Info Technology	3.0%
Weichai Power Co Ltd	China	Industrials	2.9%
Ping An Insurance Group	China	Financials	2.7%
LG Chem Ltd	South Korea	Materials	2.5%
Kingsoft Corp Ltd	China	Info Technology	2.5%

As at 30 June 2021. See note 7, page 16.

Source: Platinum Investment Management Limited.

For further details of the Fund's invested positions, including country and industry breakdowns and currency exposures, updated monthly, please visit www.platinumworldportfolios.ie/The-Funds/PWP-Asia-Fund.

with better housing, cars, appliances, financial services, communications, holidays and entertainment. We will see growth and changes in the food industry, health service and exercise markets. There will be a continued modernisation of the retail sector, building-out of manufacturing facilities and office space, a shift toward service industries and increases in mechanisation, automation and digitisation. All these changes and many more will require energy, resources and infrastructure, and will have to be done in a manner such that the environment is preserved for the people to enjoy. The changes are happening at a rapid pace, presenting huge opportunities for ambitious entrepreneurs across the region.

Looking at the portfolio as it stands, we have a diversified mix of businesses, catering to domestic and export markets, serving consumers and corporates, touching on a broad swath of industries and ranging from discretionary items to basic necessities.

The largest holdings in the Fund, **Samsung Electronics** and **Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing (TSMC)**, along with **SK Hynix**, are world-class technology champions, with substantial competitive moats, long growth runways, healthy balance sheets and trading at quite reasonable valuations. These companies have featured across Platinum's portfolios for many years, and for good reason, they are great businesses. Collectively, these three positions account for around 13% of the Fund, while a handful of other technology and internet businesses account for a further 10%.

Companies helping to upgrade people's day-to-day lives across the region, like property developers (8% holding in the Fund), white goods companies (2%) and autos (2%), also feature in the Fund, and are represented across China, India and the Philippines. These companies are often viewed by the market as being less exciting and somewhat cyclical, so are often available at relatively lower valuations, despite clear structural growth drivers, and in many cases, attractive industry dynamics. Related, but somewhat more popular with the market, are apparel and retail companies (6%), where popular brands and concepts can take off like wildfire, requiring relatively little capital to grow and generate substantial profits once a successful formula has been identified. Leisure and travel (8%) is the other major consumer-facing sector in the Fund. These businesses typically have a strong structural growth story, but have suffered temporary setbacks due to COVID.

Financials (14%) is another meaningful component of the Fund, with the bulk of the holdings in this sector concentrated in China and Hong Kong. The growth and maturation of the financial services sector is a key, but often overlooked aspect of a country's development. When functioning well, the finance sector should facilitate a more appropriate sharing of risk via insurance markets, as well as helping to improve productivity through efficient capital allocation arising out of well-developed financial markets.

The remainder of the invested portion of the portfolio is a mix of healthcare, services, industrial businesses and other idiosyncratic opportunities.

Overall, we are comfortable with the current holdings in our portfolio. As always, however, there is a natural need to keep replenishing and replacing the ideas in the portfolio as individual investment cases play out. Over time, you may notice a shift toward longer duration investments in the portfolio and we would expect portfolio turnover to decrease from the elevated levels seen over the past year or two. Sector and geographic weights will be largely dictated by the opportunity set presented to us.

Outlook

Liquidity conditions appear to have tightened modestly across the region, which if managed carefully, may cool emerging inflationary pressures, setting us up for a period of sustainable expansion.

It is worth noting, however, such macroeconomic prognostications are not fundamental to our process. Rather, we start by identifying mispriced businesses, those which are overlooked or underappreciated, and assemble these opportunities into a portfolio. Given the dynamism of the region, ongoing reforms and development which underpins growth, as well as the depth and breadth of the opportunity set available to us, we feel confident we can continue to find plenty of attractive opportunities to ensure the portfolio is set up for long-term success.

DISCLAIMERS: This publication was prepared by Platinum Investment Management Limited (ABN 25 063 565 006) (AFSL 221935), trading as Platinum Asset Management (Platinum®), as the Investment Manager for, and on behalf of, Platinum World Portfolios PLC (the "Company"), an open-ended investment company with variable capital incorporated with limited liability in Ireland with registered number 546481 and established as an umbrella fund with segregated liability between sub-funds pursuant to the European Communities (Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities) Regulations 2011, as amended. Platinum World Portfolios - International Fund, Platinum World Portfolios - Asia Fund, and Platinum World Portfolios - Japan Fund (each a "Fund", as the context requires, and together the "Funds") are sub-funds of the Company.

This publication has been approved by Mirabella Advisers LLP. Platinum UK Asset Management Limited (Company No. 11572258) is an appointed representative of Mirabella Advisers LLP, which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority - number 606792. Platinum UK Asset Management Limited is a subsidiary of Platinum and the appointed sub-distributor of the Company in the United Kingdom. The content of this publication has also been approved by Mirabella Malta Advisers Limited. Platinum Management Malta Limited is a tied agent of Mirabella Malta Advisers Limited which is licensed and regulated by the Malta Financial Services Authority. Platinum Management Malta Limited is a subsidiary of Platinum and the appointed sub-distributor of the Company for certain European Union member states.

This publication contains general information only and is not intended to provide any person with investment advice. Acquiring shares in the Company may expose an investor to a significant risk of losing all of the amount invested. The Company is a limited liability company and any person who acquires shares in the Company will not thereby be exposed to any significant risk of incurring additional liability. Any person who is in any doubt about investing in the Company should consult an authorised person specialising in advising on such investments. The prospectus and key investor information documents ("KIIDs"), which further detail the risks relating to investment in the Company, can be obtained online at www.platinumworldportfolios.ie.

Neither the Company nor any company in the Platinum Group®, including any of their directors, officers or employees (collectively "Platinum Persons"), guarantee the performance of any of the Funds, the repayment of capital, or the payment of income. The Platinum Group means Platinum Asset Management Limited ABN 13 050 064 287 and all of its subsidiaries and associated entities (including Platinum). To the extent permitted by law, no liability is accepted by any Platinum Person for any loss or damage as a result of any reliance on this information. This publication reflects Platinum's views and beliefs at the time of preparation, which are subject to change without notice. No representations or warranties are made by any Platinum Person as to their accuracy or reliability. This publication may contain forward-looking statements regarding Platinum's intent, beliefs or current expectations with respect to market conditions. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. No Platinum Person undertakes any obligation to revise any such forward-looking statements to reflect events and circumstances after the date hereof.

This publication is aimed solely at professional clients within the meaning of Article 4.1(10) of the Markets in Financial Services Directive 2014/65/EU (MiFID II) ("Relevant Persons"). This document is an informational document and does not constitute an offer or invitation to subscribe for shares in the Company or in any other product or fund referenced herein, and no person other than a Relevant Person should act or rely on this presentation.

Additional information for Qualified Investors in Germany

Shares of the Company may not be distributed or marketed in any way to German retail or semi-professional investors if the Company is not admitted for distribution to these investor categories by the Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht).

Additional information for Qualified Investors in Switzerland

The Company and the Funds are available for distribution to qualified investors (as defined in the Swiss Collective Investment Schemes Act of 23 June 2006) in and from Switzerland. The Company's Swiss representative is Fundbase Fund Services AG, Bahnhofstrasse, 1 CH-8852 Altendorf SZ. The Company's Swiss paying agent is Neue Helvetische Bank AG, Seefeldstrasse 215, CH-8008 Zurich. Qualified investors in Switzerland can obtain the binding documents of the Company and its Funds (such as the prospectus, KIIDs and financial statements) and marketing material about the Company free of charge from the Company's Swiss representative. The Company's country of domicile is Ireland. In respect of the shares of the Company distributed in or from Switzerland, the place of performance and jurisdiction is the location of the registered office of the Company's Swiss representative. This document may only be issued, circulated or distributed so as not to constitute an offering to the general public in Switzerland. Recipients of this document in Switzerland should not pass it on to anyone without first consulting with their legal or other appropriate professional adviser and with the Company's Swiss representative.

© Platinum World Portfolios PLC 2021. All rights reserved.

NOTES: Unless otherwise specified, all references to "Platinum" in this report are references to Platinum Investment Management Limited (ABN 25 063 565 006, AFSL 221935). Some numerical figures in this publication have been subject to rounding adjustments. References to individual stock or index performance are in local currency terms, unless otherwise specified.

1. Fund returns are calculated by Platinum using the Fund's net asset value per share (i.e. excluding the anti-dilution levy) attributable to the specified share class. Where a share class is not denominated in USD, the net asset value per share in USD, being the Fund's base currency, is converted into the denomination currency of that share class using the prevailing spot rate. Fund returns are net of fees and expenses, pre-tax, and assume the accumulation of the net income and capital gains, each as attributable to the specified share class. The MSCI index returns are in USD, are inclusive of net official dividends, but do not reflect fees or expenses. MSCI index returns are sourced from FactSet Research Systems. Platinum does not invest by reference to the weightings of the specified MSCI index. As a result, the Fund's holdings may vary considerably to the make-up of the specified MSCI index. MSCI index returns are provided as a reference only. The investment returns shown are historical and no warranty is given for future performance. Historical performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. Due to the volatility in the Fund's underlying assets and other risk factors associated with investing, investment returns can be negative, particularly in the short term.
2. The portfolio inception dates for each active share class of the Fund are as follows:
 - Platinum World Portfolios - Asia Fund:

Class A USD (Accumulating) (ISIN: IE00BYRGR522): 10 March 2017	Class B USD (Accumulating) (ISIN: IE00BYRGR639): 27 January 2021
Class D USD (Accumulating) (ISIN: IE00BYRGRD06): 16 November 2015	Class E EUR (Accumulating) (ISIN: IE00BYRGR852): 16 October 2020
Class F EUR (Accumulating) (ISIN: IE00BYRGR969): 3 February 2020	Class G GBP (Accumulating) (ISIN: IE00BYRGRB81): 19 February 2019
Class I USD (Accumulating) (ISIN: IE00BYMJ5524): 19 January 2017	

For the purpose of calculating the "since inception" returns of the MSCI index, the inception date of Class D of the Fund, being 16 November 2015, is used (as Class D was the first share class activated).

3. The investment returns depicted in this graph are cumulative on US\$100,000 invested in Class D of the specified Fund over the specified period relative to the specified net MSCI Index in US Dollars.
4. The geographic disposition of assets (i.e. other than "cash" and "shorts") shows the Fund's exposures to the relevant countries/regions through its long securities positions and long securities/index derivative positions, as a percentage of its portfolio market value. With effect from 31 May 2020, country classifications for securities were updated to reflect Bloomberg's "country of risk" designations and the changes were backdated to prior periods. "Shorts" show the Fund's exposure to its short securities positions and short securities/index derivative positions, as a percentage of its portfolio market value. "Cash" in this table includes cash at bank, cash payables and receivables and cash exposures through derivative transactions.
5. The table shows the Fund's net exposures to the relevant sectors through its long and short securities positions and long and short securities/index derivative positions, as a percentage of its portfolio market value. Index positions (whether through ETFs or derivatives) are only included under the relevant sector if they are sector specific, otherwise they are included under "Other".
6. The table shows the Fund's net exposures to the relevant currencies through its long and short securities positions, cash at bank, cash payables and receivables, currency forwards and long and short securities/index derivative positions, as a percentage of its portfolio market value. Currency classifications for securities reflect the relevant local currencies of the relevant Bloomberg country classifications. The table may not exhaustively list all of the Fund's currency exposures and may omit some minor exposures.
7. The table shows the Fund's top ten positions as a percentage of its portfolio market value taking into account its long securities positions and long securities derivative positions.

MSCI INC. DISCLAIMER: The MSCI information may only be used for your internal use, may not be reproduced or disseminated in any form and may not be used as a basis for or a component of any financial instruments or products or indices. None of the MSCI information is intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Historical data and analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance analysis, forecast or prediction. The MSCI information is provided on an "as is" basis and the user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. MSCI, each of its affiliates and each other person involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating any MSCI information (collectively, the "MSCI Parties") expressly disclaims all warranties (including, without limitation, any warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, timeliness, non-infringement, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose) with respect to this information. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall any MSCI Party have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential (including, without limitation, lost profits) or any other damages. (www.msci.com)

PLATINUM WORLD PORTFOLIOS PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY

An umbrella fund with segregated liability between sub-funds
Company Registration Number: 546481

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Stephen Menzies (Australian)
Tony Mc Poland
Kevin Molony

REGISTERED OFFICE

Arthur Cox Building
Earlsfort Terrace
Dublin 2
Ireland

WEBSITE

www.platinumworldportfolios.ie



INVESTMENT MANAGER

PLATINUM INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT LIMITED

Level 8, 7 Macquarie Place
Sydney NSW 2000
Australia

GPO Box 2724
Sydney NSW 2001
Australia

TELEPHONE

+61 2 9255 7500

EMAIL

invest@platinum.com.au

